K

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	09/586,119	HAMERSKI MICHA	HAMERSKI, MICHAEL D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	T. D.	
	Victor S Chang	1771		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperation apperation allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. This communication is responsive to Appeal Brief filed 6/24 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-9,11 and 12. 3. The drawings filed on 02 June 2000 are accepted by the Extension of the communication is made of a claim for foreign priority unestable and the priority documents have communication of the certified copies of the priority documents have communication of the certified copies of the priority documents have computed the priority documents have and copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have copies are copies of the certified copies of t	tars on the cover sheet wi (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate common GHTS. This application is a and MPEP 1308. M/2004. Examiner. der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) of been received.	or the correspondence adding this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due subject to withdrawal from issure or (f).	led course. THIS ue at the initiative	
 Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. ☐ A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 6. ☐ CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso 	ENT of this application. tted. Note the attached EXA s reason(s) why the oath or t be submitted.	AMINER'S AMENDMENT or Not declaration is deficient.		
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date	one ration brawing Novion	(1 10-040) attached		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	84(c)) should be written on the e header according to 37 CF	ne drawings in the front (not the R 1.121(d).		
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depos attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT F 	it of BIOLOGICAL MATE OR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	ERIAL must be submitted. N PLOGICAL MATERIAL.	Note the	
 Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 11/25/2003 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ☐ Interview Su Paper No./l 3), 7. ☒ Examiner's /	formal Patent Application (PTC) Immary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allo		

Application/Control Number: 09/586,119

Art Unit: 1771

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Timothy Czaja on 8/12/2004.

Claims 13-22, 24, 25 and 26-34 are cancelled.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Upon reconsideration, the Examiner now agrees with Applicant's argument "the "reduced adhesive properties" set forth in the claims are not inherent microscopic variations in adhesiveness" (Appeal Brief, page 8, top paragraph). As such, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, in section 5 of Office action dated 11/21/2003 is withdrawn.

Further, Applicant's argument "Both Bries et al. and Luhmann teach having the non-adhesive or reduced adhesion region at the end of the tape <u>opposite</u> the pull tab. The purpose of the arrangement of adhesion regions in Bries et al. is to provide a controlled sequential release of adhesive surfaces, and in Luhmann to reduce damage to the substrate or object upon removal of the adhesive. The present invention provides a second adhesive region with reduced adhesive properties <u>adjacent</u> the pull tab ...

Application/Control Number: 09/586,119 Page 3

Art Unit: 1771

Rearraging the position of the first region and second adhesion regions of both Bries et al. and Luhmann ... would destroy or contravene the desired characteristics of the articles described in the references" (Appeal Brief, page 11, bottom paragraph) has been carefully reconsidered, and persuasive. Additionally, in view of Applicant's argument "Bries et al. is directed to allowing an object mounted with the adhesive tape to be removed without risking snap back of the adhesive tape or catapulting of the object ... The present invention, in contrast, is directed to preventing damage to a wall surface during failure of the adhesive article." (Remarks dated 9/3/2003, page 12, second paragraph), the Examiner notes that since the structural relationship of the pull tab to the reduced adhesive region of the instant invention is distinct from Bries and Luhmann, and also functions differently, the instant invention is unobvious over the Bries or Luhman references.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-1474. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

Art Unit: 1771

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 571-272-1478. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vsc

Victor S Chang Examiner Art Unit 1771

TERREL MORRIS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

8/12/2004